Engineer's Report

IN

Ditch Proceedings.

EXHIBIT 2 OF ENGINEER'S REPORT IN DITCH PROCEEDINGS.

Showing Estimated Depth of Cut, Width, No. of Cubic Yards Removed and Cost of Same, in Repairs Co. Ditch No. 32

SECTION		(1)	(2)	(3)	No.Cu. Yds. (4) No. Cu. Yds		(5)	(6)	(7)	
		DEPTH OF CUT	WIDTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM	WIDTH OF CUT AT TOP	NO. OF CU in TO BE I Old Ditch	BIC YARDS 76 be EMOVED Excavated	Estimated Cost Per Cubic Yard \$ CTS.	Character of Other Expenses, Including Preliminary Expenses And Expense of Inspecting Work until Completed With Total Per Section	Tota Estimate per Sec	al d Cost etion CTS.
	N. 6	M								
Between Stake No. $^{\setminus}$	ON!	2.5	2,0	7.0				DEBURNATURE OF THE PARTY OF THE	15	**************************************
and Stake No.	/	2.4	4	6.8	19	22			14 1 1 5	30
Between Stake No.	2	2.9	Construction of the Constr	7.8	22	24		Manager and the second	3	3 60
and Stake No.	3	2.9	• •	78	3 0	23				3 45
Between Stake No.	4	2.7	* •	7.4	28	22	DLys Commonweal	70		330
and Stake No.	5	2.7		7.4	26	2/				3 15
Between Stake No.	6	3.1	AGAINST AND AGAINST AND AGAINST AGAIN AGAINST AGAINST AGAINST AGAINST AGAINST AGAINST AGAINST AGAINST	8.2	24	79				435
$and\ Stake\ No.$	7	2,9		7.8		32	TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY O			480
Between Stake No.	8	3./	101 Marian		,	NO CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY				480
and $Stake\ No.$	9	3./	And the second s		1 ' ,	ornanes e			,	
Between Stake No.	10		•		26					
and Stake No.	//	or control			28		The second secon			6 30
Between Stake No.	12					,				
7 64 7 40	9				Walter 1990	: []				, '
7	Transporter .				WIT ALL PROPERTY OF THE PROPER		•			
7.60 7.30					- Common of the				8.0000pm.	
D. L	allista and a second	3.833							M)	
7 (2) 7 3/2			•						,	720
•	17	7.2		104	50	3 %			8	55
						Congress of the control of the contr			and the second s	are case of the ca
and Stake No.					1655	577				, 55
	Between Stake No. and Stake No. Between Stake No. Between Stake No. and Stake No. Between Stake No.	Between Stake No. and Stake No. Between Stake No. and Stake No. and Stake No. Between Stake No. and Stake No. Between Stake No. Between Stake No. Between Stake No. And Stake No. Between Stake No. Between Stake No. And Stake No. Between Stake No. And Stake No. Between Stake No. And Stake No. And Stake No. Between Stake No. And Stake No. And Stake No. Between Stake No. And Stake No. And Stake No. Between Stake No.	Between Stake No. 2.5 and Stake No. 2.9 Between Stake No. 2.7 and Stake No. 4.7 Between Stake No. 5.7 Between Stake No. 6.3 and Stake No. 6.3 and Stake No. 7.9 Between Stake No. 8.3 and Stake No. 9.3 Between Stake No. 10.3 and Stake No. 12.3 and Stake No. 12.3 and Stake No. 13.5 Between Stake No. 14.3 Between Stake No. 15.3 Between Stake No. 16.3 and Stake No. 17.3 Between Stake No. 16.3 Between Stake No. 16.3 and Stake No. 17.4 Between Stake No. 17.4	Between Stake No. 2.5 2.0 and Stake No. 2.4 Between Stake No. 2.7 Between Stake No. 4 2.7 and Stake No. 5 2.7 Between Stake No. 6 3.1 and Stake No. 9 3.1 Between Stake No. 9 3.1 Between Stake No. 12 3.7 Between Stake No. 13 3.5 and Stake No. 14 3.5 3.7 Between Stake No. 16 3.7 Between Stake No. 16 3.7 Between Stake No. 17 4.2 Between Stake No. 17 4.2 Between Stake No. 17 4.2	DEPTH OF CUT	DEPTH OF CUT AT BOTTOM NIDTH OF CUT TO BET TO BET Old Ditesh	Depth of Cut	Between Stake No.	Section Depth of our AT SOTOM AT TOP A	No.

Total Etimated Cost of Whole Work, \$.____